Tropical Journal of Natural Product Research

Available online at https://www.tjnpr.org

Original Research Article



Evaluation of Antioxidant and Cholinesterase Inhibitory Activities of Various Extracts of Cassia spectabilis

Suciati Suciati^{1,2}*, Hanifa R. Putri³, Wachidatur Rizqiyah², Chrismawan Ardianto⁴, Aty Widyawaruyanti^{1,2}

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, East Java, Indonesia ²Center for Natural Product Medicine Research and Development, Institute of Tropical Diseases, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, 60115, East Java, Indonesia ³Master Program in Pharmaceutical Science, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, East Java, Indonesia ⁴Department of Pharmacy Practice, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, East Java, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 22 May 2022 Revised 13 June 2022 Accepted 23 June 2022 Published online 02 July 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Suciati *et al.* This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that mainly occurs in elderly people. The increasing number of people suffering from AD causes health, social and economic problems. Therapeutic strategies implemented to slow down the progress of AD are by using cholinesterase inhibitors and antioxidants. The current study aimed to investigate the antioxidant and cholinesterase inhibitory properties of the leaves and stems of Cassia spectabilis as well as to determine the total phenolic contents in the samples. The leaves and stems of C. spectabilis were extracted with 96% ethanol by the maceration method. The cholinesterase inhibitory assay was performed by using the modified Ellman's method against two cholinesterase enzymes, namely acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BChE). The antioxidant properties of the samples were evaluated using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) and 2,2'-azinobis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) methods. The total phenolic contents (TPC) were determined by a colorimetric assay using gallic acid as a reference. The results showed that the leaves and stems of C. spectabilis exerted significant inhibitory effects against both AChE and BChE enzymes with IC₅₀ values of 24.3 and 9.8 $\mu g/mL$ for the leaves and 58.6 and 47.8 $\mu g/mL$ for the stems, respectively. The extracts showed moderate antioxidant activity in both DPPH and ABTS assays with IC₅₀ ranging from 117 - 313 μ g/mL. The presence of phenolic content in the samples may contribute to the antioxidant potency of the samples. The presence of non-phenolic compounds, such as alkaloids may be responsible for the cholinesterase inhibitory properties of the extracts.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, Cassia spectabilis, Cholinesterase inhibitor, Antioxidant.

Introduction

For many years plants have shown a great contribution to the treatment of many diseases. Herbal medicines have been reported to show significant effects in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease, such as the well-known Ginkgo biloba, Bacopa monnieri, and lately Chinese medicinal plant Huperzia serrata.^{1,2} Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disorder that commonly affects elderly people. AD produces progressive and irreversible memory and cognitive decline and is associated with progressive behavioral disturbances and restrictions in activities of daily living. One of the common features of this disease is the low level of acetylcholine in the brain. Acetylcholine (ACh) is a neurotransmitter produced in the nerve ending of the presynaptic nerve from choline and acetyl coenzyme A. ACh is hydrolyzed to choline and ethanoic acid by AChE in the postsynaptic nerve.³ Another enzyme that also plays an important in the pathogenesis of AD is BChE which is co-regulated with AChE in the metabolism of ACh.⁴ Growing evidence showed the relation between oxidative stress and AD.

*Corresponding author. E mail: <u>suciati@ff.unair.ac.id</u> Tel: +62-315933150

Citation: Suciati S, Putri HR, Rizqiyah W, Ardianto C, Widyawaruyanti A. Evaluation of Antioxidant and Cholinesterase Inhibitory Activities of Various Extracts of *Cassia spectabilis*. Trop J Nat Prod Res. 2022; 6(6):921-925. doi.org/10.26538/tjnpr/v6i6.17

Official Journal of Natural Product Research Group, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.

The overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) or lack of antioxidants leads to oxidative stress which eventually damages the brain cells, and leads to the progression of AD to dementia.^{5,6} The presence of a toxic peptide, β -amyloid in the brain of patients with Alzheimer's disease is also contributed by oxidative stress.⁵ Therefore, strategies for the treatment of AD have involved the use of cholinesterase inhibitors such as donepezil, rivastigmine, and galantamine, as well as the use of antioxidants.^{7,8} *Cassia spectabilis* (sin. *Senna spectabilis*) (DC.) H.S.Irwin & Barneby

family Fabaceae is a flowering plant that can be found in tropical and sub-tropical regions.9 The flowers are bright yellow therefore it is usually used as ornamental plants in some regions. C. spectabilis also known for its medicinal purposes in the folk medicines of several countries such as Indonesia, Brazil, and Thailand. The leaves are commonly used as laxatives and purgatives. Other traditional uses are for the treatment of skin diseases, edema, as well as poisoning, and protozoic infection of the gut.¹⁰ A phytochemical study of the plant revealed the presence of alkaloids, terpenoids, flavonoids, anthraquinones, and steroids from various parts of *C. spectabilis* including the leaves, flowers, fruits, seeds, stems, and roots.¹⁰⁻¹² Pharmacological studies of the plant have shown promising antimicrobial¹³⁻¹⁶ anticonvulsant,^{17,18} antinociceptive, and antiantimicrobial¹³⁻¹⁶ inflammatory activities.¹⁹ In our previous study, we screened the potency of the leaves of several Cassia species as cholinesterase inhibitors. It was discovered that C. spectabilis showed the best potency among other Cassia species tested.²⁰ Leaves and stems are the part of this plant that show in abundance the presence of various metabolites. Therefore, in the current study, the potency of the leaves and the stems of C. spectabilis as cholinesterase inhibitors was

ISSN 2616-0684 (Print) ISSN 2616-0692 (Electronic)

compared as well as to determine the antioxidant and quantify the phenolic contents in the extracts.

Materials and Methods

Materials

The reagents used for cholinesterase assays were acetylcholinesterase from electric eel (AChE type VI-S), acetylthiocholine iodide (ATCI), horse-serum butyrylcholinesterase (BChE), butyrylthiocholine iodide (BTCI), 5,5'-dithiobis[2-nitrobenzoic acid] (DTNB), bovine serum albumin (BSA), tris buffer, and galantamine. The chemicals used for antioxidant assays were 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2'-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS), and potassium persulfate. Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent, sodium carbonate, and gallic acid were used for the determination of total phenolics. All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Sample collection

The leaves of *Cassia spectabilis* were collected from Purwodadi Botanic Garden, East Java, Indonesia on March 9th, 2019. The voucher specimen (PWD 02) was stored at the Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Airlangga. The plant was identified by Purwodadi Botanic Garden, Indonesian Institute of Sciences with identification letter number: 0371/IPH.06/HM/III/2019.

Preparation of extracts

Freshly collected leaves and stems of *Cassia spectabilis* were air-dried at room temperature for approximately seven days and then pulverized. Four hundred grams of the powdered leaves and stems were each extracted with 2 L of 96% ethanol. The samples were soaked in the solvent for 24 hours, followed by vacuum filtration. Then residues were each re-extracted with 1 L of ethanol using the same procedure. This process was repeated twice. All collected filtrates were then concentrated in a rotary evaporator at 40°C to yield crude ethanolic extracts of the leaves (27.5 g) and stems (9.6 g).

Anticholinesterase Assay

The assay was carried out according to the modified Ellman's method.²¹⁻²³ The extracts were dissolved in methanol at a concentration of 10 mg/mL and were then diluted with water to obtain serial concentrations of samples containing not more than 10% of methanol. The final test concentrations in the wells were: 300, 200, 100, 30, 20, 10, 3, 2, 1, 0.3 and 0.1 µg/mL. Twenty-five microliters of sample solutions were added to a 96-well microplate, followed by the addition of 25 µL substrates 1.5 mM ATCI or 1.5 mM BTCI, 125 µL of 3 mM DTNB, and 50 µL Tris buffer, and finally 25 µL of 0.22 U/mL AChE or BChE. The solutions were placed in a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific Multiskan FC) and shaken for 30 s before measurement. The absorbances were measured at 405 nm every 5 s for 2 mins. Experiments were carried out in triplicates. Galantamine was used as a positive control, and 10% methanol was used as a follows:

%Inhibition

$$=\frac{(Mean \ velocity \ of \ control - Mean \ velocity \ of \ sample)}{Mean \ velocity \ of \ control} \ x \ 100$$

DPPH radical scavenging assay

The DPPH assay was performed according to the modified method of Herald *et al.* (2012) and Lee *et al.*^{24,25} The extracts were dissolved with methanol to make a series of concentrations of $5 - 500 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$. Gallic acid was employed as a standard. The samples (100 μ L) were added to 96 microwell plates and mixed with 0.25 mM DPPH reagent (100 μ L). The DPPH reagent (100 μ L) was mixed with methanol (100 μ L) as a control, while methanol (200 μ L) was used as a blank. The mixtures were then incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 mins. The solutions were shaken for 30 s in a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific Multiskan FC). The absorbances were then recorded at 517 nm. The DPPH scavenging effect was calculated using the following formula.

$DPPH Radical Scavenging activity (\%) = \frac{(abs control - abs sample)}{x \ 100}$

$$=\frac{1}{abs \ control} x 1$$

ABTS radical scavenging assay

The ABTS assay was carried out based on Lee *et al.* with some modifications.²⁵ ABTS solution (5 mL, 7 mM) was mixed with potassium persulfate (88 μ L, 140 nM), and the mixture was kept in the dark at room temperature for 16 h to produce ABTS radical. Solution of samples at the concentration range 2.5 – 250 μ g/mL was prepared in methanol. The samples (100 μ L) were then mixed with 100 μ L of ABTS in a 96-well microplate followed by incubation for 6 mins in the dark at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 734 nm in a microplate reader and the plates were shaken for 30 s before reading. Gallic acid was used as standard. Experiments were done in triplicate. The ABTS radical scavenging activity was calculated using the equation as follows.

$$ABTS Radical Scavenging activity (\%) = \frac{(abs control - abs sample)}{abs control} x 100$$

Determination of total phenolic content (TPC)

The TPC of the extracts was determined according to the method by Herald *et al.* with slight modification.²⁴ Briefly, twenty-five microlitres of serial concentrations of gallic acid $(25 - 500 \ \mu\text{g/mL})$ or samples (1000 $\ \mu\text{g/mL}$) were added to a 96-well microplate, followed by the addition of water (75 $\ \mu\text{L}$) and Folin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent (25 $\ \mu\text{L}$). The solutions were incubated for 6 mins at room temperature. Then 100 $\ \mu\text{L}$ of Na₂CO₃ solution (75 g/L) was added to each well, followed by incubation for 90 mins in the dark at room temperature. The mixtures were shaken for 30 s before measurement of the absorbance at 765 nm in a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific Multiskan FC). The TPC of samples was expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram extract.

Statistical analysis

The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values were determined using GraphPad Prism 8.0 software by plotting log concentrations as axis and % inhibition as ordinate for the cholinesterase inhibitory assays, and concentration of extracts as axis and % scavenging DPPH or ABTS for the antioxidant assays. Results were expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) of three experiments.

Results and Discussion

Cholinesterase Inhibitory Activities

Despite the multifactorial nature of Alzheimer's disease, the most current therapeutic approach used is based on the cholinergic hypothesis. The use of cholinesterase inhibitors becomes the main therapeutic agent for this disease. Cholinergic neurotransmission is terminated by two cholinesterase enzymes, namely acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and butyrylcholinesterase (BChE), that play an important role in the hydrolysis of acetylcholine (ACh).²⁶ Several plants from the genus Cassia have been reported as cholinesterase inhibitors such as C. obtusifolia inhibit AChE, BChE, and BACE1^{27,28} and *Cassia alata* leave which showed inhibition against AChE.²⁹ In the present study, the *in vitro* cholinesterase inhibitory activity of the ethanolic extracts of the leaves and stems of C. spectabilis was evaluated based on the modified Ellman's method. The results show that all samples tested were able to inhibit both AChE and BChE enzymes in a concentration-dependent manner (Figures 1 and 2). As can be seen in Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2 the ethanolic extracts of the leaves and the stems demonstrated stronger inhibition against BChE compared to AChE. Inhibition of both AChE and BChE enzymes will prevent rapid hydrolysis of acetylcholine so that the amount of ACh will increase in the brain. BChE is also associated with neuritic plaques and the accumulation of fibrillar Aß

plaques. Therefore, inhibition against BChE will serve two roles,

increasing the amount of acetylcholine as well as inhibiting fibrillar

Aβ deposition.4

 Table 1: Cholinesterase inhibitory activity of C.

 spectabilis
 extracts

Samples	$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)^{a}$	
	AChE	BChE
Leaves extract	24.3 ± 0.4	9.8 ± 0.8
Stems extract	58.6 ± 0.4	47.8 ± 1.2
Galantamine	0.4 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.3

^aData presented as mean \pm SEM of three experiments, each done in triplicates.



Figure 1: Concentration-dependent response of *C. spectabilis* leaves (a) and stems (b) extracts against AChE



Figure 2: Concentration-dependent response of *C. spectabilis* leaves (a) and stems (b) extracts against BChE, each value is expressed as means \pm SEM (n = 3)



Figure 3: Scavenging effect of *C. spectabilis* extracts on DPPH free radicals, each value is expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 3)

The leaves extract gave IC_{50} values of 24.3 and 9.8 $\mu g/mL$ against AChE and BChE, respectively compared to the stem extracts with IC50 values of 58.6 and 47.8 $\mu\text{g/mL}.$ These results suggested that the leaves extract of C. spectabilis has better potency compared to the extract of the stems. Selegato et al. (2017) have summarized secondary metabolites reported from various parts of C. spectabilis.11 It was found that the leaves contain more alkaloids compared to other parts of this plant, and there are no alkaloids reported from the stem. Numerous classes of compounds have been reported as cholinesterase inhibitors, however, the majority of these are alkaloids.^{2,30} Alkaloids have shown promising anticholinesterase activities, even the current drug uses for AD therapy are alkaloids, galantamine, rivastigmine, and donepezil. The higher cholinesterase inhibitory activities of the leaves of C. spectabilis compared to the stems are possibly due to the presence of alkaloids in the extracts. Non-alkaloidal compounds present in the stem may also contribute to the anticholinesterase activity of the extract. This finding is in accordance with our previous study that based on the LC-MS/MS analysis the leaves of C. spectabilis contain alkaloid cassine, spectaline, and 3-0acetylspectaline.²⁰ Further study is needed to investigate the chemical composition of the stems of C. spectabilis since there is a limited report.

Antioxidant Activity

In this study, the radical-scavenging activities of the ethanolic and aqueous extracts of C. spectabilis leaves were evaluated using the DPPH and ABTS assays. In the DPPH assay, the samples with antioxidant compounds provide a hydrogen atom, which can react with the stable radical DPPH to form a yellow-colored non-radical diphenylpicrylhydrazine. The degree of discoloration indicates the radical-scavenging potential of the sample.³¹ The principle of ABTS assay is similar to that of DPPH assay, in which the antioxidant acts as a hydrogen donor to form a non-radical ABTS. The reduction of a dark-bluish color of ABTS radical can be monitored by spectrophotometer.³² The results of the DPPH and ABTS scavenging activity of the extracts are shown in Table 2, Figures 3 and 4. The ethanolic extracts of C. spectabilis exhibited concentration-dependent antiradical activities in both DPPH and ABTS assays. The extracts showed lower antioxidant potency compared to the standard antioxidant gallic acid with inhibitory concentration 50% (IC₅₀) values ranging from 233.2-313.8 µg/mL and 117.2-214.0 µg/mL in the DPPH and ABTS assays, respectively.

Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

The total phenolic contents in the leaves and stems extracts were evaluated using a Folin-Ciocalteu reagent with gallic acid as a standard. The calculation was based on the standard curve of equation (y = 0.0059x-0.1105, $R^2 = 0.998$). The results as can be seen in Table 3 showed that the leaves and stems of *C. spectabilis* contain a slightly low amount of phenolics, which may contribute to the moderate antioxidant activities of the extracts.

Phenolic compounds have been reported to play a significant role in the antioxidant activities of plants. The antioxidant potential of the phenolic compound is predominantly due to its redox capability so that it can absorb and neutralize free radicals, decompose peroxide, and quench singlet or triplet oxygen.³³ Studies revealed that the antioxidant capacity of the phenolic compounds depends on the number and arrangement of the hydroxyl groups in this compound. The relation between phenolic contents and the antioxidant activity of several Cassia species has been documented.³⁴⁻³⁸ The antioxidant potency, as well as phenolic contents of seven Cassia species, have been reported. C. glauca was reported to show the strongest antioxidant capacity compared to the other six Cassia species, which was related to its high content of phenolic compounds.34 Several phenolic compounds such as anthraquinones and flavonoids have been reported from *C. spectabilis*.¹¹ The results from our study are in accordance with that reported in the previous study. Jothy et al. investigated the antioxidant potency of the leaves of C. spectabilis which showed moderate antioxidant activity with an IC50 value of 30.178 ± 0.129 mg/mL in the DPPH assay. The antioxidant activity of the stems of C. spectabilis has not been reported.³³

 Table 2: Antioxidant activity of C. spectabilis extracts

Samples	$IC_{50} (\mu g/mL)^a$	
	DPPH	ABTS
Leaves extract	313.8 ± 5.9	214.0 ± 1.0
Stems extract	233.2 ± 2.2	117.2 ± 0.4
Gallic acid	2.76 ± 0.02	0.97 ± 0.03

^a Data presented as mean \pm SEM of three experiments, each done in triplicates.



Figure 4: Scavenging effect of *C. spectabilis* extracts on DPPH free radicals, each value is expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 3)

Table 3: Extract yield and total phenolic content (TPC) of *C. spectabilis* extracts

Samples	Extract Yield	TPC
	(%)	(mg GAE/g extract) ^a
Leaves extract	6.9	29.9 ± 0.4
Stems extract	2.4	25.2 ± 0.2

^aData presented as mean \pm SEM of three experiments, each done in triplicate.

Conclusion

The leaves and stems extracts of *Cassia spectabilis* exhibited significant cholinesterase inhibitory activity against AChE and BChE. Both extracts demonstrated moderate antioxidant activity. The presence of non-phenolic compounds, such as alkaloids in the leaves may be responsible for the higher potency of the extract as a cholinesterase inhibitor.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' Declaration

The authors hereby declare that the work presented in this article is original and that any liability for claims relating to the content of this article will be borne by them.

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge Purwodadi Botanic Garden Indonesian Institute of Sciences for sample collection, Directorate of Research and Community Services, the Ministry of Research and Technology/National Research and Innovation Agency Republic of Indonesia for research grant PDUPT 2021 contract number 401/UN3.1.5/PT/2021.

References

- Akram M and Nawaz A. Effects of medicinal plants on Alzheimer's disease and memory deficits. Neural Regen Res. 2017; 12(4):660-670.
- dos Santos TC, Gomes TM, Pinto BAS, Camara AL, Paes AMA. Naturally occurring acetylcholinesterase inhibitors and their potential use for Alzheimer's disease therapy. Front Pharmacol. 2018; 9:1192.
- Dev K and Maurya R. Marine-derived antialzheimer's agents of promise. In: Brahmachari G (ed). Neuroprotective Natural Products, Clinical Aspects and Mode of Action. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH; 2017; 153-184p.
- Darvesh S. Butyrylcholinesterase as a diagnostic and therapeutic target for Alzheimer's disease. Curr Alzheimer Res. 2016; 13(10):1173-1177.
- Cassidy L, Fernandez F, Johnson JB, Naiker M, Owoola AG, Broszczak DA. Oxidative stress in Alzheimer's disease: A review on emergent natural polyphenolic therapeutics. Compl Ther Med. 2020; 49:102294.
- Sinyor B, Mineo J, Ochner C. Alzheimer's disease, inflammation, and the role of antioxidants. J Alzheimers Dis Rep. 2020; 4(1):175-183.
- 7. Sharma K. Cholinesterase inhibitors as Alzheimer's therapeutics. Mol Med Rep. 2019; 20(2):1479-1487.
- Juszczyk G, Mikulska J, Kasperek K, Pietrzak D, Mrozek W, Herbet M. Chronic stress and oxidative stress as common factors of the pathogenesis of depression and Alzheimer's disease: The role of antioxidants in prevention and treatment. Antioxid (Basel). 2021; 10(9):1439.
- Torey A, Sasidharan S, Yeng C, Latha LY. Standardization of *Cassia spectabilis* with respect to authenticity, assay and chemical constituents analysis. Mol. 2010; 15(5):3411-3420.
- Jothy SL, Torey A, Darah I, Choong YS, Saravanan D, Chen Y, Latha LY, Deivanai S, Sasidharan S. *Cassia spectabilis* (DC) Irwin et Barn: A promising traditional herb in health improvement. Mol. 2012; 17(9):10292-10305.
- Selegato DM, Monteiro AF, Vieira NC, Cardoso P, Pavani VD, Bolzani VS, Castro-Gamboa I. Update: biological and chemical aspects of *Senna spectabilis*. J Braz Chem Soc. 2017; 28(3):415-426.
- Franca MGA, Cavalheiro AJ, Silva MGV. A comprehensive LC-DAD-QTOF-MS method for dereplication of bioactive compounds in *Senna* extracts. Rev Bras Farmacogn. 2021; 31:32-39.
- Sangetha S, Zuraini Z, Sasidharan S, Suryani S. Antibacterial, antifungal and cytotoxic activities of *Cassia spectabilis*. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2008; 1:17-20.
- Sangetha S, Zuraini Z, Suryani S, Sasidharan S. In situ TEM and SEM studies on the antimicrobial activity and prevention of *Candida albicans* biofilm by *Cassia spectabilis* extract. Micron. 2009; 40(4):439-443.
- Krishnan N, Ramanathan S, Sasidharan S, Murugaiyah V, Mansor SM. Antimicrobial activity evaluation of *Cassia spectabilis* leaf extracts. Int J Pharmacol. 2010; 6(4):506-510.
- Torey A and Sasidharan S. Anti-candida albicans biofilm activity by *Cassia spectabilis* standardized methanol extract: An ultrastructural study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2011; 15(8):875-882.
- Bum EN, Nkantchoua GN, Njikam N, Taiwe GS, Ngoupaye GT, Pelanken MM, Nanga, Maidawa F, Rakotonirina A, Rakotonirina SV. Anticonvulsant and sedative activity of leaves of *Senna spectabilis* in mice. Int J Pharmacol. 2010; 6(2):123-128.
- de Oliveira Silva F, de Vasconcelos Silva MG, Feng D, de Freitas RM. Evaluation of central nervous system effects of iso-6-cassine isolated from *Senna spectabilis* var. *excelsa* (Schrad) in mice. Fitoter. 2011; 82(2):255–259.

- da Silva KA, Manjavachi MN, Paszcuk AF, Pivatto M, Viegas C Jr, Bolzani VS, Calixto JB. Plant derived alkaloid (-)-cassine induces anti-inflammatory and antihyperalgesics effects in both acute and chronic inflammatory and neuropathic pain models. Neuropharmacol. 2012; 62(2):967-977.
- Suciati S, Laili ER, Poerwantoro D, Hapsari AP, Gifanda LZ, Rabgay K, Ekasari W, Ingkaninan K. Evaluation of cholinesterase inhibitory activity of six Indonesian *Cassia* species. J Res Pharm. 2020; 24(4):472-478.
- Ellman GL, Courtney KD, Andres V Jr, Featherstone RM. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochem Pharmacol. 1961; 7(2):88-95.
- Suciati S, Poerwantoro D, Widyawaruyanti A, Ingkaninan K. Acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity of extract and fractions from the root of *Rauvolfia serpentina* (L.) Bth.ex Kurz. J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol. 2021; 32(4):313-317.
- Aristyawan AD, Setyaningtyas VF, Wahyuni TS, Widyawaruyanti A, Ingkaninan K, Suciati S. In vitro acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activities of fractions and iso-agelasine C isolated from the marine sponge Agelas nakamurai. J Res Pharm. 2022; 26(2):279-286.
- 24. Herald TJ, Gadgil P, Tilley M. High-throughput micro plate assays for screening flavonoid content and DPPHscavenging activity in sorghum bran and flour. J Sci Food Agric. 2012; 92(11):2326-2331.
- Lee KJ, Oh YC, Cho WK, Ma JY. Antioxidant and antiinflammatory activity determination of one hundred kinds of pure chemical compounds using offline and online screening HPLC assay. Evid-Based Compl Altern Med. 2015; 2015:165457.
- Stanciu GD, Luca A, Rusu RN, Bild V, Beschea Chiriac SI, Solcan C, Bild W, Ababei DC. Alzheimer's disease pharmacotherapy in relation to cholinergic system involvement. Biomol. 2019; 10(1):40.
- Jung HA, Ali MY, Jung HJ, Jeong HO, Chung HY, Choi JS. Inhibitory activities of major anthraquinones and other constituents from *Cassia obtusifolia* against β-secretase and cholinesterases. J Ethnopharmacol. 2016; 191:152-160.
- 28. Shresta S, Seong SH, Paudel P, Jung HA, Choi JS. Structure related inhibition of enzyme systems in cholinesterases and BACE1 *in vitro* by naturally occuring

naphthopyrone and its glycosides isolated from *Cassia* obtusifolia. Mol. 2017; 23(1):69-86.

- Feitosa CM, Freitas RM, Luz NN, Bezerra MZ, Trevisan MT. Acetylcholinesterase inhibition by somes promising Brazilian medicinal plants. Braz J Biol. 2011; 71(3):783-789.
- Tamfu AN, Kucukaydin S, Yeskaliyeva B, Ozturk M, Dinica RM. Non-alkaloid cholinesterase inhibitory compounds from natural sources. Mol. 2021; 26(18):5582.
- 31. Molyneux P. The use of the stable free radical diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (dpph) for estimating antioxidant activity. Songklanakarin J Sci Technol. 2004; 26(2):211-219.
- Re R, Pellegrini N, Proteggente A, Pannala A, Yang M, Rice-Evans C. Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. Free Rad Biol Med. 1999; 26 (9-10):1231–1237.
- 33. Jothy SL, Aziz A, Chen Y, Sasidharan S. Antioxidant activity and hepatoprotective potential of *Polyalthia longifolia* and *Cassia spectabilis* leaves against paracetamol-induced liver injury. Evid-Based Compl Altern Med. 2012; 2012:561284.
- El-Hashash MM, Abdel-Gawad MM, El-Sayed MM, Sabry WA, Abdel-Hameed el-SS, Abdel-Lateef Eel-S. Antioxidant properties of methanolic extracts of the leaves of seven Egyptian *Cassia* species. Acta Pharm. 2010; 60(3):361-367.
- Irshad Md, Zafaryab Md., Singh M, Rizvi MM. Comparative analysis of the antioxidant activity of *Cassia fistula* extracts. Int J Med Chem. 2012; 2012:157125.
- 36. Ahmed SI, Hayat MQ, Tahir M, Mansoor Q, Ismail M, Keck K, Bates RB. Pharmacologically active flavonoids from the anticancer, antioxidant and antimicrobial extracts of *Cassia angustifolia* Vahl. BMC Compl Altern Med. 2016; 16(1):460.
- Kolar FR, Gogi CL, Khudavand MM, Choudhari MS, Patil SB. Phytochemical and antioxidant properties of some *Cassia* species. Nat Prod Res. 2017; 32(11):1324-1328.
- Mehta JP, Parmar PH, Vadia SH, Patel MK, Tripathi CB. *In-vitro* antioxidant and *in-vivo* anti-inflammatory activities of aerial parts of *Cassia* species. Arab J Chem. 2017; 10(supp.2):S1654-S1662.